

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DAT | Е | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/084,579 | 10/084,579 02/26/2002 | | Frederick L. Jordan | HO-P02917US9 | 6019 |
| 26271 | 7590 11/04/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY | | | TOOMER, CEPHIA D | | |
| SUITE 5100 | | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| HOUSTON, | TX 77010-3095 | | ** | 1714 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | GÞ |
|---|--|--|---|------------|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/084,579 | JORDAN, FREDERIG | CK L. |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Cephia D. Toomer | 1714 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commu | nication appears on the cover shee | t with the correspondence addre | ess |
| A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION, us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) in the statutory period will apply and will expire six by will by statute cause the application to be set to application to application to be set to application to ap | y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm | unication. |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 12 August 2004 | | |
| | | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition | | natters prosecution as to the me | orito io |
| | closed in accordance with the practi | ice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (| C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | ents 18 |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | , | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) <u>37-62 and 64-89</u> is/are per | oding in the application | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>55-62 and 64-89</u> is/are allo | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>37-40,45-48,51 and 52</u> is/a | | | |
| | Claim(s) 41-44,49,50,53 and 54 is/a | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | | | |
| | on Papers | and the second of the second o | | |
| | · | . = | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the | | | |
| .0/[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted or b) objected t | to by the Examiner. | |
| · | Applicant may not request that any object | otion to the drawing(s) be held in abey | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11)[7] | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | the correction is required if the drawin | ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. | .121(d). |
| 11/1_1 | The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-1 | 52. |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a)[_ | Acknowledgment is made of a claim f | | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| | | documents have been received. | | |
| | 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority of | documents have been received in | Application No | |
| 3 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of | of the priority documents have bee | en received in this National Stag | e |
| * 0 | | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * 56 | ee the attached detailed Office action | for a list of the certified copies no | ot received. | |
| | | | | |
| ttachment(| 5) | | | |
|) Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| 11 Notice | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT | rO-948) Paper No | o(s)/Mail Date | |
| 10100 | dia Dia I | _, 1 | | |
|) 🔲 Informa | ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date | PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other: | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/084,579

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 12, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed August 12, 2004 in which claims 44, 46, 50, 61, 64, 71, 74, 78, 82 and 88 were amended and claims 63 and 90 were canceled.
- 3. The Double Patenting rejection is withdrawn in view of Applicant filing a Terminal Disclaimer.
- 4. The rejections of the claims under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs are withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims and Applicant's arguments.
- 5. The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102(b) is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/084,579

Art Unit: 1714

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 37-40, 45-48 and 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirk (US 5,023,095).

Kirk teaches a composition comprising beta-carotene, at least one edible oil and dl-alpha-tocopherol (see abstract; col. 2, lines 17-24). The edible oil may be selected from coconut, palm, olive, peanut (a member of the *Leguminosae* family), and corn (grain). Kirk teaches that the oils may be used in combination (see col. 3, lines 22-28). Kirk also teaches that dl-alpha-tocopherol is an antioxidant (see col. 3, lines 47-50). Kirk teaches the limitations of the claims other than the differences that are discussed below.

In the first aspect, Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not specifically teach applicant's intended use. However, intended use is given no patentable weight in claims that are directed to the composition per se.

In the second aspect, Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not teach that the edible oils function as thermal stabilizers. However, given that Kirk teaches some of the same oils as applicant in combination with beta-carotene, it would be reasonable to expect that the oils would function in this capacity. Furthermore, a compound and its properties are inseparable. In re Papesch, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963).

8. Claims 41-44, 49, 50, 53 and 54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,579 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

9. Claims 55-62 and 64-89 are allowed. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed fuel additive and fuel composition containing said additive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714